

Assessment Schedule – 2006

Mathematics: Solve straightforward number problems in context (90151)

Evidence Statement

	Criteria	No.	Evidence	Code	Judgement	Sufficiency																																			
Achievement	Solve straightforward number problems in context.	1	$0.4 \times \$130 = \52	A	Units are not required anywhere. Accept \$52.0 Any correct rounding / truncation anywhere. * Accept 3.5% Accept 0.41666... ... eg 0.41, or 0.42. Or equivalent.	2 of code A . Replacement evidence: Q 5, 6, 7, 8																																			
		2	$0.06 \div 1.75 \times 100 = 3.4285\dots$ = 3.4%	A																																					
		3	$1 - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} = 1 - \frac{7}{12} = \frac{5}{12}$	A																																					
		4	$40 \div 6 \times 0.75 = 5\text{kg}$	A																																					
Achievement with Merit	Solve number problems in context, involving manipulation, several steps or reversing processes.	5	$\$2\,430 \div 1.125 = \$2\,160$	A / M	Units are not required anywhere. Any correct rounding / truncation. Accept any correct rounding / truncation with working. * Money-answers should not have more than 2 dp.	Achievement plus 2 of code M OR 3 of code M Replacement evidence: Q 8																																			
		6	$\$28\,500 \div 0.737 = \$38\,670.28\dots\dots\dots$ $\approx \\$38\,670$	A / M																																					
		7	$\$1.0449 \times 10^9 \div (\$9.56 \times 10^8)$ $= 1.09299\dots$ This is an increase of $\approx 9.3\%$ OR $\% \text{ increase} = \frac{1.0449 \times 10^9 - 9.56 \times 10^8}{9.56 \times 10^8} \times 100$ $= 9.299\dots \approx 9.3\%$	A / M																																					
Achievement with Excellence	Devise a strategy and solve a number problem.	8	<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">@ 3.25%</th> <th colspan="3">@ 1.3%</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Yr</th> <th>5 000</th> <th>Yr</th> <th>6mth</th> <th>5 000</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>5 162.50</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>5 130.85</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>5 197.55</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>5 330.28</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>5 265.11</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td>5 333.56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>5 503.52</td> <td>3</td> <td>6</td> <td>5 402.90</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Scheme 1 Value is \$5 330.28 when the 2½ years is up. (It doesn't change after 2 years is up.)</p> <p>Scheme 2 Value is \$5 333.56 after 2½ years.</p> <p>Recommendation: Choose Scheme 2, because it is worth more after the 2½ years is up.</p> <p>Candidates might go further and investigate a combination of schemes eg if Jemima invests in Scheme 1 for two years, then transfers to Scheme 2 for the last 6 months, the value is: $\\$5\,330.28 \times 1.013 = \\$5\,399.57$ In this case, the recommendation would be: choose the combined scheme because it is worth most after the given time.</p>	@ 3.25%		@ 1.3%			Yr	5 000	Yr	6mth	5 000	1	5 162.50	1	2	5 130.85				3	5 197.55	2	5 330.28	2	4	5 265.11				5	5 333.56	3	5 503.52	3	6	5 402.90	A A M E	Give code A for EITHER scheme value correct for 2½ years. Give code M when both scheme values are correct for 2½ years or There is 1 minor error late in the calculations of any scheme and then the conclusion is consistently correct For Excellence, calculations and / or explanations are needed to support the values of at least TWO possibilities, and a reasoned decision on which scheme is best. Accept other well-reasoned recommendations.	Achievement with Merit plus code E .
@ 3.25%		@ 1.3%																																							
Yr	5 000	Yr	6mth	5 000																																					
1	5 162.50	1	2	5 130.85																																					
			3	5 197.55																																					
2	5 330.28	2	4	5 265.11																																					
			5	5 333.56																																					
3	5 503.52	3	6	5 402.90																																					

* **Re Rounding/truncation**
Where correct working is given to support / show how an answer was obtained, then the comment “accept any rounding / truncation” applies. Money situations are an exception: more than 2 dp cannot generally be accepted as a correct answer in context.
When CAO applies, the answer presented needs to be “accurate enough” to count as evidence of appropriate processes having been used.

Judgement Statement**Mathematics: Solve straightforward number problems in context (90151)**

<i>Achievement</i>	<i>Achievement with Merit</i>	<i>Achievement with Excellence</i>
Solve straightforward number problems in context. $2 \times A$	Solve number problems in context involving manipulation, several steps or reversing processes. Achievement <i>plus</i> $2 \times M$ OR $3 \times M$	Devise a strategy and solve a number problem. Merit <i>plus</i> $1 \times E$